

2023 Master internship at University of Gdańsk



TITLE: Couple and Dog. Relationships and interspecies bonds in private life and public space – international perspective

LAB & PEOPLE

- Name of the hosting lab: Survey of everyday life, Institute of sociology, Faculty of social sciences
- General activities of the lab: Exploring everyday and intimate life based on sociological qualitative methods.
- Website:
- Number of staff / PhD: 2
- Supervisor name and contact: dr Magdalena Gajewska, dr Magdalena Żadkowska; magdalena.gajewska@ug.edv.pl magdalena.zadkowska@ug.edu.pl

TOPIC OF THE INTERNSHIP

• Scientific context of the internship (max 20 lines)

A noticeable trend among young Europeans is the choice of conscious childlessness. Many among such couples choose to include a dog in their pack. In our project, we look at both the choice of childlessness and the processes of creating an interspecies community. The aim of this sociological project is to describe the bond-building process in iterspecies group. From the moment the couple co-habitates with the representative of another species to the moment the relationship is tamed and ritual practices of daily life are built. Realisation of the responsibilities of integration and adaptation to the norms and values of the human family (cleanliness training, health, place in the family structure and in the space of the house/apartment). We are meeting the couple/human person at the moment of relationship building. Looking at communication processes and expectations. We discovere the division of household responsibilities related to caring for a new household member, to be re-examined after two years. What kind of divisions we can observe in it? What is the definition of family and familiality by the respondents at the beginning of the relationship and after two years. We would like to capturing styles of relationship building. Values and norms associated with 'animal life'.Capturing attachment styles among both human and non-human actors in family life. Ways of spending leisure time. Quantity and quality of time spent together at the beginning of the relationship and during the relationship after two years. We examine whether parenting roles, if adopted, at the beginning of the relationship are functioning in the relationship after two years. If so, to what extent they have expanded or narrowed. We recognise the impact of the presence of a pet on the relationships between the human household members and the family lifestyle, and how the quality of life of the individual members of the human couple has changed. Has the presence of a pet affected lifestyle change? We ask about affective intimacy and narratives of intimacy. what are the levels of emotional commitment to love in the interspecies family (children vs. parents, partner vs. partner, practices of expressing love). Are we 'allowed' to love a dog/cat more than a human anymore? Family conflicts - conflicts over space, order, conflicts over understanding time, Resistance and aggression in the interspecies family. Our goal is to analysing the incorporation of behavioural needs into the daily life practices of the interspecies families.

Keywords: Human, non-human, family, dog, couple, intimacy, sociology, qualitative sociology, sociology with animals

Bibliography:

Alger, J. M.& Alger, S. F. (1997). Beyond mead: Symbolic interaction between humans and felines. *Society & Animals*, 5,65–81.

Arluke, A. (2002). A Sociology of Sociological Animal Studies. *Society & Animals*, 10(4),369–374. **Atkinson, P.& Hammersley, M. (2010).** *Ethnography: Principles in Practice.* Routledge.

Bakke, M. (2007). Między nami zwierzętami. O emocjonalnych związkach między ludźmi i innymi zwierzętami. *Teksty Drugie* 1/2, 222–234.

Barats, Ch. (2017). Manuel d'analyse du web -2e edition. Paris, Armand Colin.

Blouin, D. D. (2008). *All in the Family? Understanding the Meaning of Dogs and Cats in the Lives of American Pet Owners,* PhD, Indianapolis, Indiana University.

Blouin, D. D. (2012). Understanding Relations between People and their Pets. *Sociology Compass.* vol. 6, (11), 856-869.

Blouin, D. D. (2013). Are Dogs Children, Companions, or Just Animals? Understanding Variations in People's Orientations toward Animals. *Anthrozoös*, vol. 26, (2), 279-294.

Bodsworth, W.&Coleman, G.J. (2001). Child–Companion Animal Attachment Bonds in Single and Two-Parent Families. *Anthrozoös*, vol. 14, (4), 216-223.

Braidotti, R.(2013). Posthuman Humanities. *European Educational Research Journal*.volume12,328-352. https://doi.org/10.2304/eerj.2013.12.1.1

Braun, V. &Calrke, V. (2020). One size fits all? What counts as quality practice in (reflexive) thematic analysis? *Qualitative Research in Psychology*. Vol.18,/doi.org/10.1080/14780887.2020.1769238

Cain, A. (1985). *Pets as family members.* M. Sussman (Ed.), *Pets and the family* (5–10), New York. Haworth Press.

Carter, M. (2015). *What's So Wrong With 'Pet Parenting'*? https://www.huffingtonpost.co.uk/marie-carter/pet-parenting-whats-so-wrong-with-it_b_7079174.html

Carlisle-Frank, P.& Frank, J. M. (2006). Owners, guardians, and owner-guardians: Differing relationships with pets. *Anthrozoös*, 19, 225–242.

Carvalho, S. Erin G. Wessling E.G., E. Abwe E.E., Almeida-Warren K., Arandjelovic M., Boesch Ch., Danquah E., Diallo M. S., Hobaiter C., Hockings K., Humle T., Ashegbofe R., Ikemeh A. K. Kalan K., Luncz L., Gaku Ohashi L. G., Pascual-Garrido A., Piel A., Soiret L., S., S., Crickette C., Sanz, C., Koops, K. (2022). Using nonhuman culture in conservation requires careful and concerted action, Conservation Letter. *A Journal for The Conservation Biology*, DOI: 10.1111/conl.12860

Charles, N. (2016). Post-Human Families? Dog-Human Relations in the Domestic Sphere. *Sociological Research Online*, vol. 21, (3), 1-12.

Charles, N. (2014). Animals Just Love You as You Are': Experiencing Kinship across the Species Barrier. *Sociology*, vol. 48, (4),715-730.

Charles, N. & Davies, C. A. (2008). My family and other animals: Pets as kin. *Sociological Research Online*. Retrieved from http://www.socresonline.org.uk/13/5/4.html

Charles, N.&Wolkowitz C.(2019). Bringing Dogs onto Campus: Inclusions and Exclusions of Animal Bodies in Organizations. *Gender, Work & Organization*, vol. 26, (3), 303-321.

Chiouliaraki, L. (2008). *Discourse Analysis.* T. Bennett i J. Frow (eds.). *The SAGE Hand-book of Cultural Analysis*. London, Sage, 674–698.

Chouliaraki, L. (2010). *Discourse and Mediation* Allan, S., (eds). *Rethinking Communication: Keywords in CommunicationResearch*. Cresskill, NJ, USA. Hampton Press, 10-25.

Denscombe, M. (2007). *The good research guide for small-scale social research projects.* (3rd ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.

De Singly, F.& Giraud, Ch. (2012), *En famille a Paris*, Paris, Armand Colin.

De Singly, F.& Morand, E. (2019). Sociologie d'une forte proximité subjective au chat, au chien, *Enfences,* DOI:10.7202/1064510ar.

Doré, À., Michalon J., Monteiro, T. (2016). What makes human-animal relations 'organizational? The de-scription of anthrozootechnical agencements. *Organization,* Volume 24,(6) https://doi.org/10.1177/135050841667024

Doré À., Michalon J., Monteiro T. (2020). The place and effect of animals in families, *HAL* Id: halshs-02308128 https://halshs.archives-ouvertes.fr/halshs-02308128 Submitted on 12 Nov 2020.

Endenburg, N. & van Lith, H. A. (2011). The influence of animals on the development of children. The *Veterinary Journal*, 190(2), 208–214. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tvjl.2010.11.020

European Pet Food (2022). FEDIAF Annual report | FEDIAF, (europeanpetfood.org)

Franklin, A. (1999). *Animals and Modern Cultures : A Sociology of Human-Animal Relations in Modernity,* London, Sage Publications.

Franklin, A., (2006). Be[a]ware of the Dog: A Post-Humanist Approach to Housing. *Housing, Theory and Society*, vol. 23, (3), 137-156.

Gabb, J. (2008). Researching Intimacy in Families, Palgrave Macmillan Studies in Family and Intimate Life; **Gabb, J. (2011).** Qualitative Research on LGBT-Parent Families. Goldberg, Abbie and Allen, Katherine (eds.) LGBT-Parent Families: Innovations in Research and Implications for Practice.

Gabb, J.&Finch, J. (2018). *Couple Relationships in the 21st Century: Research, Policy, Practice.* Basingstoke, New York: Palgrave Macmillan.

Giddens, A. (2006). *Przemiany intymności. Seksualność, miłość i erotyzm we współczesnych społe czeństwach*, przekład A. Szulżycka, Warszawa 2006, Wydawnictwo Naukowe PWN.

Gouveia, R.; Almeida, A.N. (2022). Vidas Confinadas: Famílias, Quotidianos e Vulnerabilidades no Início da Pandemia em Portugal. [Lockdown Lives: Families, Everyday Lives, and Vulnerabilities]. Lisbon: Imprensa de Ciências Sociais.

Gouveia, R; Castrén, A-M. (2021). Redefining the boundaries of family and personal relationships. The Palgrave Handbook of Family Sociology in Europe, Castrén, A-M., Crespi, I., Cesnuityte, V., Gauthier, J-A. Gouveia, R. Martin, C. Moreno, K. Suwada, K.(eds). United Kingdom: Palgrave Macmillan.

Greenebaum, J. (2004). It's a dog's life: Elevating status from pet to "fur baby" at yappy hour. *Society & Animals,* 12, 117–135.

Greenebaum, J. B. (2010). Training Dogs and Training Humans: Symbolic Interaction and Dog Training. *Anthrozoös*, vol. 23, (2), 129-141.

Haraway, D. (2003). Cyborgs to companion species: Reconfiguring kinship in technoscience. Ihde, D. and Selinger E (eds) *Chasing Technoscience: Matrix for Materiality.* Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press, 58–82.

Hines, L.(2003). Historical Perspectives on the Human-Animal Bond, American Behavioral Scientist, nr 47(1), 7–15.

Hosey, G.& Melfi, G. (2014). Human-Animal Interactions, Relationships and Bonds: A Review and Analysis of the Literature.International Journal ofComparative Psychology, nr 27(1), 117–142.

Irvine, L. (2004). *If You Tame Me : Understanding Our Connection With Animals.* First edition, Philadelphia, Temple University Press.

Irvine, L. (2009a). *Filling the Ark : Animal Welfare in Disasters,* Philadelphia, Temple University Press. **Irvine, L.(2009b).** Teaching and Learning Guide for : Animals and Sociology. *Sociology Compass*, vol. 3, (2), 372-380.

Irvine, L.&Cilia, L.(2017). More-Than-Human Families: Pets, People, and Practices in Multispecies Households, *Sociology Compass*, vol. 11, no 2. https://doi.org/10.1111/soc4.12455

Jedynak, S.(2008). Stosunek człowieka do zwierząt w aspekcie ekologicznym, Problemy Ekorozwoju. *Problems of Sustainable Development*, nr 3, 73–76.

Juozeliūnienė I. E. (2021). Visual Family Research Methods In: The Palgrave Handbook of Family Sociology in Europe, Castrén, A-M.; I.Crespi, V. Cesnuityte, J-A. Gauthier, J-A. Gouveia, R.Martin, C. Moreno, K. Suwada, K.(eds). United Kingdom: Palgrave Macmillan.

Kaufmann, J.-C. (1996). L'entretien comprehensif. Paris: Editions Nathan.

Kirksey, E. (2015). Multispecies Families, Capitalism, and the Law . *Animals, Biopolitics, Law,* I. Braverman, (eds), London, Routledge, 175–194.

Konecki, K., (2005). Ludzie i ich zwierzęta. Interakcjonistyczno - symboliczna analiza społecznego świata właścicieli zwierząt domowych. Warszawa, Scholar.

Kowalczyk A.,(2010). Wspólnota poszerzona - spotkania ludzkich i pozaludzkich aktorów w: Praktyka Teoretyczna nr 1/2010, 116-135.

Kurdek, L. A. (2009). Young Adults' Attachment to Pet Dogs: Findings from Open-Ended Methods, *Anthrozoös*, vol. 22, (4), 359-369.

Kurdek, L. A. (2008). Pet Dogs as Attachment Figures, *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, vol. 25, (2), 247-266.

Krueger, R. A. (1994). *Focus groups: A practical guide for applied research.* Thousand Oaks, CA. Sage Publications Inc.

Kruege,r R. & Casey, M. (2000). *Focus Groups: A Practical Guide for Applied Research* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA,Sage.

Latour, B. (2010). *Splatając na nowo to, co społeczne. Wprowadzenie do teorii aktora-sieci.* Universitas, Kraków.

Laurent-Simpson, A. (2017a). Considering Alternate Sources of Role Identity: Childless Parents and Their Animal "Kids", *Sociological Forum*, vol. 32, (3), 610-634.

Laurent-Simpson, A. (2017b). They Make Me Not Wanna Have a Child": Effects of Companion Animals on Fertility Intentions of the Childfree. *Sociological Inquiry*, 87(4), 586–607. https://doi.org/10.1111/soin.12163

Leow, C. (2018). It's Not Just A Dog: The Role of Companion Animals in the Family's Emotional System, University of Nebraska.

Lisek-Michalska, J. (2013). *Badania fokusowe. Problemy metodologiczne i etyczne,* Łódź, Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Łódzkiego.

Mamzer, H. (2015). Posthumanizm we współczesnych modelach rodzin: zwierzęta jako członkowie rodziny? S. Grotowska i I. Taranowicz (red.)*Rodzina wobec wyzwań współczesności. Wybrane problemy*.Wrocław,Oficyna wydawnicza Arboretum,151-176.

Mamzer, H.(2015). Stary człowiek i pies. Horyzonty Wychowania vol. 14,(32).63-85.

Mamzer, H., Gajewska M. A., Lange Ł. (2021). Jak rozumieć relacje międzygatunkowe? *Porównania* 2 (29), 351-370.

Marshall, C. & Rossman, G. (2011). Designing Qualitative Research, 5th edn, London, Sage.

Mason, J. (2008). Tangible affinities and the real life fascination of kinship. *Sociology* 42, 29–45. **Miller, A. (2011).** Just don't call me mom: Pros and cons of a family law model for companion animals in the U.S. *Humanimalia*, 2(2), 90–114.

Morgan, D. (1996). Focus groups as qualitative research (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA, Sage.

Morgan, D. (2011.) Rethinking Family Practices. Basingstoke, New York, Palgrave Macmillan.

Nibert, D. (2003). Humans and Other Animals: Sociology's Moral and Intellectual Challenge. *International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy*, nr 23(3), 4–25.

O' Connor, P., Haynes, A., Kane, C. (2004). Relational discourse: social ties with family and friends. Childhood: *A Global Journal of Child Research* 11 (3), 361-382.

Olson, D. (2011). FACES IV and the Circumplex Model: validation study, *Journal of Marital and Family Therapy*, 37(1), 64-80. doi: 10.1111/j.1752-0606.2009.00175.x. PMID: 21198689.

Paveau, M.-A. (2015). L'intégrité des corpus natifs en ligne,, Cahiers de praxématique [Internet] [Integrity of online native corpus, Praxematics books [Internet]], 01 January 2015, 59 | Available from: http://journals.openedition.org/praxematique/3359.

Paveau, M.-A (2013). Genre de discours et technologie discursive, Pratiques [Discourse genres and discursive technology], 157-158.

Policarpo, V. (2020). Daphne the Cat: Reimagining human–animal boundaries on Facebook. *The Sociological Review*Vol. 68, (6), 1290–1306

Power, E. (2008). Furry families: Making a human–dog family through home. *Social & Cultural Geography*, *9*(*5*), 535–555.

Mizielińska, J., Struzik, J., Król, A. (2017). *Różnym głosem. Rodziny z wyboru w Polsce,* Warszawa, PWN.

Mizielińska, J.(2017). Odmienne czy zwyczajne? Rodziny z wyboru w Polsce, Warszawa, PWN.

Mizielińska, J. (2022). *Queer Kinship on the Edge? Families of Choice in Poland*, Routledge, open access.

Newport, F., Jones, J.M., Saad, L., Carroll, J.(2006). *Americans and their pets.* Retrieved from http://www.gallup.com/poll/25969/americans-their-pets.aspx

Redmalm, D. (2015). Pet grief: When is non-human life grievable? *Sociological Review,* 63 (1),19–35.

Reinharz, S. (1992). Feminist Methods in Social Research, Oxford University Press.

Sanders, C. R. (1999). *Understanding dogs: living and working with canine companions.* Philadelphia, PA, Temple University Press.

Shannon-Missal, L. (2015). *More than ever, pets are members of the family.* Retrieved from http://www.theharrispoll.com/ health-and-life/Pets-are-Members-of-the-Family.html.

Shir-Vertesh, D. (2012). Flexible personhood: Loving animals as family members in Israel. *American Anthropologist* 114, 420–432.

Stewart, D. W., Shamdasani, P. N., Rook, D. W. (2007). *Focus groups: Theory and practice.* Thousand Oaks, CA, Sage Publications Inc.

Stoeckel, L.,Palley, E., L. S., Gollub,R. L, Niemi, S. M.,Evins, A. E. (2014). Patterns of Brain Activation when Mothers View Their Own Child and Dog: An fMRI Study , *PLOS ONE*, vol. 9, no 10,https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0107205

Sussman, M. B. (1985). Pets and the Family, First edition, New York, Routledge.

Stewart, H. (2018). Parents of "Pets?" A Defense of Interspecies Parenting and Family. *Analize, Journal of Gender and Feminist Studies*, (11) 239-264.

Tannen, D. (2004). Talking the Dog: Framing Pets as Interactional Resources in Family Discourse, *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, vol. 37, (4),399-420.

Thielke, L. E.; Udell, M. A. R. (2017). The role of oxytocin in relationships between dogs and humans and potential applications for the treatment of separation anxiety in dogs: Oxytocin and separation anxiety. *Biol. Rev.* 92,378–388.

Topál, J.; Miklósi, Á., Csányi, V., Dóka, A. (1998). Attachment behavior in dogs (Canis familiaris): A new application of Ainsworth's (1969) *Strange Situation Test. J. Comp. Psychol.* 112, 219–229.

Vogl, S.,Zartler, U.,Schmidt E-M.,Rieder, I. (2017). Developing an analytical framework for multiple perspective longitudinal interviews (MPQLI). *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*,179-199.

Walsh, F. (2009), Human–animal bonds II: The role of pets in family systems and family therapy. *Family Process* 48, 481–499.

Zimmerman, D. H.&Weider, L. (1977). The Diary: Diary-Interview Method. *Journal of Contemporary Ethnography,* 4(5),479–498. https://doi.org/10.1177/089124167700500406

Tasks and duties entrusted to the student:

The student's task in the project will be:

- 1) Recruit couples for the study in their country of origin.
- 2)Collecting 10 online interviews from couples with dogs from their country of origin.
- 3) Preparing transcriptions of these interviews.
- 3) Assisting in desktop research.
- 4) Participating in scientific seminars dedicated to interspecies families.
- 5) Preparing in a team a text comparing families with dogs in Poland with families in his/her country of origin.

Skills to be acquired or developed:

We expect students to:

- 1. Experience in conducting sociological qualitative research mainly interviewing and transcription skills.
- 2. Independence in the process of recruiting people for the study.
- 2. Ability to work in an international team

PROFILE OF THE DESIRED STUDENT

- Minimum level of study required: Bachelor's degree in sociology
- Field(s) of study: **Sociology**
- Scientific skills : Qualitative methodology
- Language skills required : **English at a level that allows free oral and written communication.**

THE INTERNSHIP ASSIGNMENT:

Desired duration of the internship (in months): 3 month

Desired Starting date of the mission: (please indicate the level of flexibility): **starting in February -March 2024**

Indicative weekly schedule: 20h / week

Remuneration ? no

Erasmus grant : could be asked to your own university

Internship agreement: an internship agreement will be signed.

To SEA-EU students:

If you're interested please send your CV and letter of motivation to the scientist in charge, email before the $\frac{date}{203}$.